

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI  
GREENVILLE DIVISION**

**RICKEY SMITH**

**PLAINTIFF**

**VS.**

**CIVIL ACTION NO.: 4:14-cv-127-NBB-JMV**

**LEFLORE COUNTY, ET AL.**

**DEFENDANTS**

**ORDER STAYING CERTAIN PROCEEDINGS**

Local Uniform Civil Rule 16(b)(3)(B) provides that “[f]iling...an immunity defense ... motion stays the attorney conference and disclosure requirements and all discovery **not related** to the issue pending the court’s ruling on the motion, including any appeal.” L.U. Civ. R. 16(b)(3)(B) (emphasis added). Because the defendants have raised the issue of immunity by separate motion [10], staying certain proceedings is appropriate.

**IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED** that the aforementioned proceedings are hereby **STAYED** as aforesaid pending a ruling on the immunity motion. Should the parties desire to undertake immunity related discovery, they should contact the court for scheduling of same. Defendants shall notify the undersigned magistrate judge within seven (7) days of a decision on the immunity defense motion and shall submit a proposed order lifting the stay.

**SO ORDERED** this, the 12th day of November, 2014.

/s/ Jane M. Virden

---

**UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**